Journal of Food and Nutrition Science
Volume 1 (2021) 7 Pages

Research Article | Open Access

Effect of Lactobacilli Dependent on Tum, Blood, Urinary Parameters and Milk Production of Jersey Bos Taurus Throughout Late Gestation and Early Lactation

Reyes Martín*, Alhambra Rozas, Palmas Hortiguela

Department of Food Science, Faculty of Food Engineering, State University of Campinas, 13083-862 Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

***Correspondence:** Reyes Martín, MD, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Food Engineering, State University of Campinas, 13083-862 Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. E-mail: reyes.martin@unicamp.br

Copyright ©2021 Martín R, et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Received: June 12, 2021;
Accepted: June 30, 2021;
Published: July 06, 2021

Citation: Reyes Martín, Alhambra Rozas, Palmas Hortiguela. Effect of Lactobacilli Dependent on Tum, Blood, Urinary Parameters and Milk Production of Jersey Bos Taurus Throughout Late Gestation and Early Lactation. J Food Nutr Sci. (2021);1(1): 1-7

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to live the consequences of feeding Lactobacilli (LAB) with a slow intake carbamide supplement (SIUS) integrated into a probiotic/prebiotic mixture, fed at pre partum and early postnatal, exploitation 84 Jersey cows. 2 integrated diets (ID), pre and postnatal, were fed to 2 teams of forty two cows: 1st, pre partum beginning twenty one d before birthing as follows: 1) ID while not supplement 2) ID with LAB/SIUS; Second, post birthing cows (20 animals each) were fed a lactation diet (LD) T1 with LAB/ SIUS pre and postnatal, T2 LAB/SIUS solely prepartum, T3 LAB/SIUS solely postnatal and T4 while not LAB/SIUS supplementation. research lab probiotic supplementation contained close to 4x107 cfu of carboxylic acid microorganism composed of 4x106cfu of Lactobacillum plantarum; 10x106cfu of Lactobacilumdelbrueckii; 8x106cfu L. Helveticas; 10x106cfu Lactococcus lactis; 10x106cfu Leuconostocmesenteroides; and 5x104cfu of Bifidus spp. mixed into a liquid 250g supplement/cow per d in each pre partum and post-partum periods. SIUS composition was: terrorist organization corn, terrorist organization syrup, Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire poultry litter, Bastille Day rice sprucing, V-day oilseed meal, 5% lard, four-dimensional feed, 4% salt, 4% urea, 3.2% carbonate, three-dimensional salt, 2.2% ammonia sulphate, 1.6% cement oven mud and I Chronicles mineral salt. DMI, milk yield, and milk macromolecule content were higher for cows receiving the LAB/SIUS probiotics compared with the LAB/SIUS diet.

Keywords: Probiotics; Lactobacilli; Milk production; Cows; Supplementation; Blood parameters; Rumen parameters

1. Intruduction

Probiotics and prebiotics have, recently, gained attention [1] for his or her role in dominant biological process infectious diseases and rising productive performance in bovine. carboxylic acid microorganism ar accepted as probiotics and are used as growth promoters, to stop viscus infections by infective microorganism, decrease stress, and stimulate immune reaction [2]. A useful bacterium, like Bifidobacteria, Lactobacilli and a few species of Enteroccocci, offer nutrients for the animal viscus cells, promote absorption of nutrients, produce a healthy viscus surroundings, and promote an active system [3]. Prebiotics are outlined as selective non-digestible supermolecule food sources that promote the proliferation of Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli [4]. it's been reportable that symbiotics (combination of pre and probiotics), mixed into feed improve milk production in milcher farm cows, inhibit enterobacteria contamination, and forestall symptom whereas increasing weight gain in calves [5].

Nutritionists currently take into account that the transition amount, that is that the time between the last two-3 weeks of gestation (close-up dry period) and also the 1st 2 weeks of lactation (early contemporary period), ar the key phases within the lactation cycle. throughout this era, cows bear a high demand of nutrients, a section related to vital physiological, metabolic and dietary changes. This vulnerable amount, which might be extended for an extra three weeks till the height of lactation, represents a turning purpose within the productive cycle of cows [6]. to boost milk yield and quality [7] offered science lab supplementation with a direct-fed combination of Lactobacillum plantarum and Enterococcus faecium starting twenty one d prepartum through d seventy of lactation, increasing DMI, milk production, and milk supermolecule share through the primary twenty one d of lactation. This microorganism mixture was supplemented daily into the tummy to inflated ruminal hydrogen ion concentration at intervals the diurnal cycle. The results showed diminished suck formation to sequester additional suck at specific times, once carboxylic acid concentrations undulated as a result of the diurnal feeding behavior. science lab supplementation inflated DMI and milk production in early lactation. Blood matter info prompt this response was related to additional aldohexose being created obtainable and fewer fatty acids being mobilized from macromolecule stores [7]. The rational for this response was that the supplemented direct-fed-microbials (DFM) were providing a relentless level of carboxylic acid to the tummy microbiota. The tonic production of suck would possibly permit the fastidious lactate-utilizing microbes to sustain a metabolic active population [7].

Bacteria of the bacteria genus (LAB) are proved to be useful microorganisms of specific interest due to their long thriving history in human health [8]. Lactobacilli were among the primary organisms utilized by man for process foodstuffs [9] and for protective food by inhibiting invasion by some microorganism organism [10]. Supplementation with science lab probiotics as useful microorganisms may well be a very important various for feeding farm ruminants [11]. Previously, the use, of science lab probiotics in ruminants incontestible increased performance, used alone or with slow consumption gas supplements to boost tummy fermentation [11,12]. science lab probiotics resulted in accelerated growth once feeding totally different diets. Stimulation of carboxylic acid fermentation showed nutraceutical functions because of bacteriocin production that destroyed infective microorganism, or methane-forming microorganism, including rising the standard of the supplement for his or her fibrolytic capability. Adding prebiotic ingredients, that can't be digestible by ruminants within the gastrointestinal tract, like oligosaccharides or dextran, stimulate growth of carboxylic acid microorganism [5,13]. the target of this study was to judge the result of LAB/ SIUS supplementation (probiotic/prebiotic) throughout the pre-and post-partum amount on tummy, blood, urinary parameters and milk production performance of milker.

2. Material And Methods

Eighty-four twinning Jersey cows, advisement 540 kilo (±22kg) were chosen and placed into 2 treatments and utilized in this study from three weeks pre partum throughout ten weeks postnatal. Cows were blocked by previous lactation milk yield (24kg/d). The treatment teams consisted of 2 pre partum integrated diets (with or while not LAB/ SIUS) offered to 2 teams of forty-two cows every, haphazardly distributed (Table 1). when parturition, eighty cows were divided into four teams one fed LAB/SIUS before and when parturition (T1), a second fed LAB/SIUS solely throughout lactation (T2), a 3rd cluster fed LAB/SIUS before parturition however not throughout lactation (T3) and a fourth cluster of cows that didn't receive LAB/ SIUS either before or when parturition (T4). Cows post-partum averaged 22kg (±2.7) milk/d.

Of the quantity of animals (76) that completed the trial, four animals were removed thanks to parturition complications, redness or rubor. the quantity of animals that completed the trial for every cluster was eighteen, 19, 19, twenty for T4; T3; T2; T1 severally. No clinical acetonemia or alternative metabolic diseases were diagnosed. The ingredient and nutritionary composition of the 2 prepartum diets square measure in Table 1. SIUS composition was: terrorist organization ground corn, terrorist organization

	Pre-Partum Diet	Pre-Partum Diet LAB/SIUS	Post-Partum Diet	Post-Partum Diet LAB/SIUS
Ingredient	% DM basis	% DM basis	% DM basis	% DM basis
LAB/SIUS Probiotic		10.4		9.04
Cotton seed	10.40*		9.04*	
Barley straw	5.44	5.44	4.98	4.98
Ground corn	35.75	35.75	24.35	24.35
Soybean oil meal	11.95	11.95	8.09	8.09
Alfalfa hay	5.46	5.46	5.94	5.94
Corn silage	21.25	21.25	32.48	32.48
Ground barley meal	8.95	8.95	13.88	13.88
Minerals	0.8	0.8	1.24	1.24
CP %	19.9	21.1	20.2	21.2
UIP % of CP	42.1	44.1	43.4	45.4
DIP % of CP	69	72	1.89	1.94
NDF %	33.4	31.4	30.2	31.5
ADF %	16.8	16.1	17.4	16.2
NFC %	40.5	42	40.5	41.5
Mg %	0.38	0.4	0.4	0.5
Fat %	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4
Ca %	1.28	1.21	1.5	1.7
P %	0.52	0.57	0.5	0.6

Table 1: Pre partum diets offered 21 d before calving and throughout parturition.

sirup, 16 PF poultry litter, Bastille Day rice sprucing, 8 May 1945 oilseed meal, 5% lard, four-dimensional feed, 4% salt, 4% urea, 3.2% carbonate, third-dimensional salt, 2.2% ammonium ion sulphate, 1.6% cement oven mud and I Chronicles mineral salt. The science laboratory probiotic supplementation contained just about 4x107cfu of carboxylic acid microorganism composed of 4x106cfu Lactobacillum plantarum; 10x106cfu Lactobacilumi delbrueckii; 8x106 cfu L. helveticus; 10x106cfu Lactococcus lactis; 10x106cfu Leuconostoc mesenteroides, and 5x104 cfu Bifidus spp. integrated into a liquid supplement mixture (prebiotic) of thirty fifth sirup, and sixty fifth cheese whey, 250g of that were offered to every per cow per day, in each pre partum and post-partum periods. the 2 fresh diets square measure in Table 1.

Two ruminally cannulated cows (560±27kg BW) were placed into every experimental prepartum diet. thenceforth cannulated cows were modified to every postnatal treatment underneath a square matrix style, permitting seven d of adaptation before sample assortment on every diet. Ruminal fluid was collected at zero (before feeding) and a pair of, 4, 6, 8, ten associate degreed twelve h (after feeding) to live hydrogen ion concentration and NH3 with an iron selective conductor probe (Orion analysis 1997). VFA were determined by gas natural action with the tactic represented before [14]. concerning 100ml of breadbasket fluid was saw 2 layers of gauze and unbroken in a very carbon dioxide pre-gassed vacuum bottle. within the laboratory, the filtered breadbasket fluid was right away transferred into smaller bottles whereas gassing with carbon dioxide. The bottles were kept in associate degree setup at thirty-nine before dilution and immunization. breadbasket fluid was diluted (106 to 108) and zero.5ml of every of the 3 dilutions was inoculated and incubated anaerobically before colonies were counted, following the technique represented by Hungate. Samples of the probiotic microorganism were known in anaerobic chambers as careful before [11]. first {stomach|stomach|tummy|tum|breadbasket} fluid samples were additionally obtained via a stomach tube in pregnant cows and early lactation 3h post feeding on d fourteen, 10, 5, and done pre partum. when giving birth, ruminal samples via abdomen tube were obtained each 7d. each ruminal fluid directly from cannulated animals and via the abdomen tube on experimental animals were examined at the same time.

Digestibility was firm with the fistulated animals through total faucal assortment from web feed intake (difference between offered and refused feed, with a tenth restriction to confirm complete intake for dry matter (DMI). Organic matter (OM) and crude supermolecule (CP) were determined, in line with AOAC. Preand post-partum diets, integrated with the supplement, were offered as a complete mixture (TM) double each day to assure a minimum of 100% refusal. Weights were recorded before the morning feeding and discarded. Daily DMI in five chosen cows in every treatment, was measured for the complete test period. additionally, daily milk production and weekly composition was measured throughout the postnatal amount. All forages and diets were sampled weekly, keep frozen and analyzed for CP, NDF (Van Soest et al., 1992), Ca, P, and Mg. Total daily excretory product production was collected from five haphazardly chosen animals weekly from 21d before and throughout giving birth, continued from parturition to the top of the study. microorganism atomic number 7 offer was calculated from purine by-product excretion (PDe) in excretory product according with the methodology of, following the equation:

Where, digestibleness of microorganism purines is assumed to be zero.83. this can be taken because the mean digestibleness worth for microorganism nucleic acids. The atomic number 7 content of purines is seventy mg/mmol and therefore the magnitude relation of purine N: total N in mixed breadbasket microbes is taken as eleven.6:100. Blood samples were taken weekly 21d before and throughout giving birth, continued daily from giving birth to the top of the study. Blood samples were taken before the morning feeding, which might correspond to the time of the best NEFA ((Plasma non-esterified fatty acids) and BHBA (Blood β hydroxybutyrate). breadbasket fluid samples were obtained on fistulated animals 3h post feeding on d fourteen, 10, 5, and done pre partum. NEFA and aldohexose concentrations were determined by measurement assay with industrial kits (WAKO Chemicals, metropolis Texas and kit 510 alphabetic character Chemical CO., St. Louis, Mo, respectively). Plasma BHBA was firm by the tactic. internal secretion was firm by immunochemical assay (Coat-a-count internal secretion, Diagnostic product Corporation, Fort Collins, Col) following the proposal by Nocek et al. Results were evaluated by split-plot-in-time for continual measures procedure of SAS: dependent variable=μ +treatment +period +cow (treatment) +treatment*period +ξ, wherever is that the overall mean of the population and ξ is that the random error. once treatment was vital.

3. Results And Discussion

Dry matter intake response was according for twenty-one throughout pre partum till birth (Table 2). There was a distinction between LAB/SIUS on DMI twenty-one throughout eight d pre partum. DMI was reduced within the last 7d versus the previous 14d before expected birth, however not considerably. despite treatment, each NEFA and BHBA levels were higher for the last 7d compared to the previous 14d before expected birth date (Table 2). Treatment with LAB/SIUS had no result on either blood parameter pre partum. Results showed LAB/SIUS supplementation influenced ruminal pH, from five through one pre partum, with cows supplemented with LAB/SIUS having the next pH price than in non-supplemented cows, preventing carboxylic acidosis and allowing higher ruminal atmosphere for the fibrolytic result of beverage acid bacterium. Cows supplemented with LAB/SIUS showed less of a decline inrumen pH in comparison to a median d fourteen and d ten pre partum to one pre partum (Table 3). Probiotic result (LAB) did diminish ruminal pH considerably (Table 3). Prebiotic result of SIUS allowed persistence of laboratory within the breadbasket.

Total VFA in each treatment didn't show vital variations (Table 3). However, LAB/SIUS increased breadbasket propionate which will result in higher milk production. Ruminal NH3 was higher within the probiotic supplemented treatments; demonstrating a double result, created by the upper NPN from organic compound and ammonium ion sulfate and the probiotic result of developing carboxylic acid bacterium within the treated animals (Table 3). Effects of LAB/SIUS supplementation postnatal area unit in Table 4.

Days Pre partum					
	21 pre partum until parturition		Last 7 d		
	Control	LAB/SIUS	Control	LAB/SIUS	SEM
DMI kg/d	9.4^{b}	11.2^{a}	7.2^{c}	8.9^{c}	0.8
BHBA μ M/L	0.490^{b}	0.502^{b}	0.678^{a}	0.645^{a}	0.069
NEFA μ M/L	303^{b}	323^{b}	411^{a}	421^{a}	33

Table 2: Dry matter intake, plasma concentration of BHBA and NEFA of cows, prepartum supplemented with probiotics.

Table 3: Ruminal pH, VFA and NH3 at different pre partum times for cows supplemented with or without SIUS and LAB.

Days Pre partum					
	14 to 10 d	5,4,3 d	2 d	1 d	SEM
Ruminal pH					
Control	6.85^a	6.62^a	6.52^b	6.50^b	0.07
LAB/SIUS	6.87^a	6.42^a	6.10^b	6.09^c	0.03
Rumen VFA proportions (mM/l) Control					
Acetate	78.2^a	72.2^a	77.8^a	77.2^a	2.3
Propionate	14.4^b	14.8^a	15.2^a	14.9^{ab}	1.2
Butyrate	5.3^b	6.2^a	6.4^a	6.4^a	0.5
Total	97.9^b	93.2^c	99.4^a	98.5^{ab}	1.2
Rumen VFA proportions (mM/l) LAB/SIUS					
Acetate	77.3^a	75.4^a	76.3^a	74.5^a	2.3
Propionate	15.7^a	16.1^a	16.7^a	16.3^a	1.2
Butyrate	5.1^a	5.9^a	5.9^a	6.1^a	0.5
Total	98.1^a	97.4^b	98.9^a	96.9^c	1.2
Rumen NH_3 (mg/100ml)					
Control	14.9^b	14.4^b	14.7^b	14.9^b	1.7
LAB/ SIUS Probiotic	15.9^a	17.4^a	17.7^a	17.9^a	2.2

Table 4: Dry matter intake and production parameters for cows supplemented with or without LAB/SIUS as a probiotic post-partum.

Lactation					
Day	T1	T2	T3	T4	SEM
DMI kg/d					
1-7 d	12.9^a	11.5^b	11.7^b	11.8^b	0.9
8-21 d	15.2^a	12.1^b	14.1^b	13.4^b	1.1
22-70 d	17.4^a	16.3^b	16.2^b	15.8^b	0.8
Milk, kg/d					
1-7 d	17.3^a	16.1^b	16.5^b	14.7^c	0.5
8-21 d	23.2^a	21.1^a	21.7^a	19.4^b	0.7
22-70 d	27.3^a	25.0^a	26.2^a	21.2^b	0.8
Milk fat %					
1-7 d	6.68^a	6.45^a	6.55^a	6.35^b	0.04
8-21 d	6.35^a	6.27^b	6.45^a	6.24^b	0.02
22-70 d	6.20^b	6.14^b	6.35^a	6.12^b	0.05
Milk protein %					
1-7 d	4.01^a	3.91^a	4.10^a	3.94^a	0.01
8-21 d	3.86^a	3.33^b	3.97^a	3.24^b	0.05
22-70 d	3.30^a	3.17^b	3.45^a	3.05^b	0.1

For DMI from d1 although d7, LAB/SIUS supplementation pre and postnatal resulted in a rise (T1). Cows fed LAB/SIUS post-partum solely [T2] showed AN intermediate response from alternative treatments (T1; T4). throughout week two and three postnatal, cows fed LAB/SIUS pre- and post-partum (T1) had higher intakes than those fed no LAB/SIUS or fed LAB/SIUS solely throughout the post-partum amount.

The impact of probiotic supplementation on milk yield and copy has been studied antecedently in dairy farm cows [3]. Researchers in Japan reported higher milk production and total amounts of fat, supermolecule and non-fat solids in milk of dairy farm cows supplemented with a prebiotic/ probiotic combination [5], the same as the LAB/SIUS dependent impact determined within the gift work. On the opposite hand, previous studies on probiotics have conferred polemical results with reference to growing performance and health standing of calves. Some researchers reported that probiotic use throughout pre-weaning amount failed to amendment the calf's performance. Ruminant health standing has usually been affected absolutely by probiotics. within the gift observation, health standing was improved particularly taking into thought diarrhoea and rubor, each much absent. different work on the utilization of probiotics in calves resulted in higher growth showed that calves fed probiotics had 11 November less health issues than those fed diets while not probiotic, decreasing by thirty seventh the incidence of diarrhoea, suggesting enhancements in growing can be enthusiastic about rearing conditions. though modes of action of the probiotics square measure still below discussion, it's usually accepted to boost health and vitality of animals and reducing mortality. The helpful effects of probiotics on animal health and vitality square measure typically attributed to their stimulant effects on the precise medicine response to antigens and pathogens.

Yasuda et al. [5] evaluated the impact of a replacement dependent consisting of research lab probiotic and its dextran as a prebiotic. The mixture did improve milk production of dairy cow dairy farm cows. it had been instructed that the administration of probiotics, in conjunction with specific prebiotics encourages formation by probiotics, improves microflora within the gut and, as a result, higher milk yields and milk parts, within the gift study joint impact of the research lab probiotic with the prebiotic impact of SIUS was able to improve milk production, most likely thanks to a rise in DM intake and aldohexose handiness within the experimental animals.

Our results with reference to health standing and mortality square measure in agreement with the findings. The results obtained within the gift experiment counsel that probiotics supported eubacteria sp. improved health standing of cows with decreasing medication value. once evaluating blood parameters in terms of metabolic transition (fewer predispositions to metabolic disease) and animal performance for LAB/SIUS treatments, the best state of affairs would be to possess specific treatment elevation of glucose and hypoglycaemic agent with a discount in BHBA and NEFA compared with different treatments or the non-treated management. This situation suggests a discount of carboxylic acid mobilization, a lot of complete chemical reaction of fatty acids, and exaggerated energy derived from dietary macromolecule sources (i.e., DMI) to satisfy postnatal energy desires [7]. Our blood parameter findings pre- and post-partum agreed with those printed by Noecek et al. [7] in terms of easy transition (less predisposition to metabolic disease) and animal performance in high manufacturing dairy cow cows. most likely LAB/SIUS faded carboxylic acid mobilization and exaggerated on the market aldohexose, which can make a case for will increase in milk production (Table 4).

The supply of amino genetic and glucogenic substrates is also less good relative to lipogenic or ketogenic substrate, the same as the impact of direct-fed microbic supplementation [7]. Providing LAB/SIUS throughout pre- or post-partum solely showed associate intermediate response, but milk production impact throughout lactation was usually larger once LAB/SIUS was given once parturition, most likely thanks to the sharp impact of upper aldohexose handiness. The onset of those events is extremely enthusiastic about the sustenance of DMI. LAB/ SIUS stirred DMI pre- and post-partum most likely thanks to the exaggerated quantity of edibleness of forage cell walls by LAB/SIUS and exaggerated intake thanks to augmentation of milk production within the treated cows. Drackley indicated the supply of metabolic fuels that constitutes metabolizable energy is also important for finetuning events that trigger either clinical or subclinical sickness, i.e., the provision of amino genic and glucogenic substrates is also less good relative to lipogenic or ketogenic substrates. The onset of those events is additionally extremely enthusiastic about the sustenance of DMI. within the gift study a rise of DMI was incontestable once LAB/SIUS was used pre- and post-partum, that instructed that either management cows had depressed intake, or the LAB/SIUS had a stimulatory impact, or both. DMI increase can be the key to higher milk production as incontestable during this study.

A pH scale stabilization is usually related to faded levels of carboxylic acid within the stomach. The stimulation of carboxylic acid-utilizing microorganism elicited decreases in potable acid concentrations and therefore the corresponding moderation of ruminal pH scale. Average ruminal pH scale within the gift study (Table 3) differed between the diet treatments, being lower for the LAB/SIUS treatment.

4. Conclusion

Feeding LAB/SIUS containing a probiotic and a prebiotic mixture, starting 21d pre partum and throughout seventy of lactation increased DMI, milk production, and milk macromolecule share throughout the primary 21d of lactation. The pre partum and post-partum probiotic diets did improve amount and quality of milk production. there have been increased metabolic profiles in cows, as mirrored by aldohexose, insulin, NEFA and BHBA standing. The performance of cows supplemented with LAB/SIUS pre and/or post-partum starting of birthing throughout d seventy was higher milk production and augmentation of DMI. Addition of a workplace probiotic amid a prebiotic mixture increased N intake by twenty third, increased microorganism macromolecule synthesis and improved first stomach physiology by increasing fiber degradation.

5. Conflict Of Interest

None.

6. References

1. Nazzaro F, Orlando P, Fratianni F, Coppola R. Microencapsulation in food science and biotechnology. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2012 Apr;23(2):182-6.
2. Jackson LS. Toxicology and chemical food safety in the Journal of Food Science: 1936 - the present. J Food Sci. 2014 Apr;79(4):iv-v.
3. Botelho R, Araújo W, Pineli L. Food formulation and not processing level: Conceptual divergences between public health and food science and technology sectors. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2018 Mar 4;58(4):639-650.
4. Huang Y, Kangas LJ, Rasco BA. Applications of artificial neural networks (ANNs) in food science. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2007;47(2):113-26.
5. Pastore G, Pastore G. Editorial on Food Science and its impact on a Changing World. Food Res Int. 2019 Oct;124:108486.
6. Buczinski S. Ultrasonographic assessment of late term pregnancy in cattle. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 2009 Nov;25(3):753-65.
7. Bricker L, Neilson JP. Routine ultrasound in late pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2000;(2):CD001451.
8. Higgins LE, Rey de Castro N, Addo N. Placental Features of Late-Onset Adverse Pregnancy Outcome. PLoS One. 2015 Jun 29;10(6):e0129117.
9. Abbattista M, Capecchi M, Martinelli I. Pregnancy after cerebral venous thrombosis. Thromb Res. 2019 Sep;181 Suppl 1:S15-S18.
10. Kluckow H, Panisi L, Larui J, Jatobatu A, Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2018 Jun;58(3):349-357.
11. Greenwood DC, Alwan N. Caffeine intake during pregnancy, late miscarriage and stillbirth. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010 Apr;25(4):275-80.
12. Mir O, Berveiller P, Ropert S. Use of platinum derivatives during pregnancy. Cancer. 2008 Dec 1;113(11):3069-74.
13. Fylstra DL. Ectopic pregnancy after hysterectomy: a review and insight into etiology and prevention. Fertil Steril. 2010 Jul;94(2):431-5.
14. Gerfaud-Valentin M, Hot A, Huissoud C, Durieu I, Broussolle C, Seve P. Adult-onset Still's disease and pregnancy: about ten cases and review of the literature. Rheumatol Int. 2014 Jun;34(6):867-71.